

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/829,133 | 04/09/2001 | Erol Tan | RAY4066P0016US | 1047 | |
| 32116 75 | 32116 7590 03/12/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | LIPS, KATZ, CLARK | PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C | | | |
| 500 W. MADISON STREET SUITE 3800 CHICAGO, IL 60661 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1771 | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Do. AC |
|--|--|--|
| <u>.</u> | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/829,133 | TAN ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Christopher C Pratt | 1771 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply | cation appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum standard - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. b) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AB | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. 3ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) file | d on 29 December 2003. | |
| , | 2b)☐ This action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition | for allowance except for formal matt | ers, prosecution as to the merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practic | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | • |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-14,18,21,25,30-32,34,35</u> | 5 20 45 and 48 is/are pending in the | application |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar | | аррисацоп. |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | e withdrawn from consideration. | |
| 6) Claim(s) 12-14,18,21,25,30-32,34,35 | 5.39.45 and 48 is/are rejected. | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | • | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restric | tion and/or election requirement. | |
| Auglication Denoug | | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the | | hu tha Evansinas |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | | |
| Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | -, , | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to | | |
| | by the Examiner. Note the attached | 2 Office / tollor of tollier 10 102. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim | for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | ; 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | d | |
| | documents have been received. documents have been received in A | upplication No. 09/3/13/10 |
| | of the priority documents have been | |
| | nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Toddivod III tillo National Otago |
| * See the attached detailed Office action | | received. |
| 200 2 2 25 20 20 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P | TO-948) Paper No(s | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date. |

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: __

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/829,133

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's remarks filed 12/29/03 have been entered and carefully considered. Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 12-14, 18, 21, 25, 30-32, 34-35, 39, 45, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leithem (WO 95/20066) in view of Berg et al (4684909) and Tyler et al (4919482), and, as set forth in the previous action.

Applicant argues that Leithem fails to teach the percentage of superabsorbent material. The examiner agrees with applicant and notes that Berg was cited in the rejection of the previous action as a teaching which renders obvious applicant's claimed percentage.

Applicant argues that Tyler fails to teach the claimed crystallinity. However, Tyler teaches reducing crystalinity in col. 2, lines 48-51.

Applicant argues that the references fail to teach the suppleness of the fabric.

For the reasons set forth in the previous action, this property is inherent in the fabric created by the combination of Leithem, Berg, and Tyler.

Applicant argues that Berg teaches away from combining the references because Berg teaches increasing density which decreases suppleness. However, Berg

Application/Control Number: 09/829,133

Art Unit: 1771

teaches applicant's claimed density (col. 6, lines 53-62) and the examiner finds no mention in Berg of suppleness.

Applicant argues that the examiner used impermissible hindsight in combining the references. However, Leithem is silent with respect to the ratio of pup and superabsorbent and the density. In order to practice the invention of Leithem the skilled artisan would have to look to the prior art to fill in the gaps in Leithem's teachings.

Applicant argues that the references fail to teach elements of the instant invention; however, applicant does not specifically point out which elements are not taught.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,133

Art Unit: 1771

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Pratt whose telephone number is 571-232-1480. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher C. Pratt March 4, 2004

Ms. Arti R. Singh
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 1700